

# State of the Art and Future Directions in Model Management Research

Japan-Austria Joint Workshop on ICT, October 18-19, 2010



#### Gerti Kappel

#### **Business Informatics Group**

Institute of Software Technology and Interactive Systems Vienna University of Technology Favoritenstraße 9-11/188-3, 1040 Vienna, Austria phone: +43 (1) 58801-18804 (secretary), fax: +43 (1) 58801-18896 office@big.tuwien.ac.at, www.big.tuwien.ac.at

#### Content

- Introduction
  - Model-Driven Engineering and Model Management
- Model Management Use Case I: Model Versioning
- Model Management Use Case II: Model Co-Evolution
- Résumé



#### Content

#### Introduction

- Model-Driven Engineering and Model Management
- Model Management Use Case I: Model Versioning
- Model Management Use Case II: Model Co-Evolution
- Résumé



Models, Models, Models, ...

# "Everything is a model"

- Analysis Model
- Transformation Model
- Metamodel

. . .

Design Model

Weaving Model

Diff Model

Metametamodel

- Change Model
- Test Model



Jean Bézivin. *On the Unification Power of Models*. Software and System Modeling 4(2), pages 171-188, 2005.

Models, Models, Models, ...



Mapping Feature	A model is based on an original (=system)
<b>Reduction Feature</b>	A model only reflects a (relevant) selection of an original's properties
Pragmatic Feature	A model needs to be usable in place of an original with respect to some purpose



Building Block #1: Metamodeling





Thomas Kühne. *Matters of (Meta-)Modeling*. Software and System Modeling 5(4), pages 369-385, 2006.

Building Block #2: Model Transformations





Krzysztof Czarnecki, Simon Helsen. *Feature-based survey of model transformation approaches*. IBM Systems Journal 45(3), pages 621-646, 2006.

Building Block #3: Model Management







Building Block #3: Model Management

# Model of "No model is an island"







#### **Model Management**

Old wine in new bottles?

#### Origin: Data Engineering

Research issue for decades since integration of heterogeneous databases (1970)

#### Current Status: Model Management 2.0

- Well-documented kinds of heterogeneities
- Global Model Management Operators
  - Diff, Merge, Match, Compose, ModelGen, TransGen, Inverse, ...
- Much progress, but still many challenges
- Ongoing Work: Model Management 3.0 = Model Management 2.0 + Model Engineering
  - Raising the level of abstraction
    - Too many data models, formats, technologies, tools, …
  - Built on top of powerful model engineering technologies

P. Bernstein, S. Melnik. *Model Management 2.0: Manipulating Richer Mappings.* ACM SIGMOD 2007 Keynote, China, June 2007.



#### **Model Management**

Old wine in new bottles?





#### **Model Management in a Nutshell**

#### Model Management 2.0

- Predefined set of generic operators
  - Act upon models and produce models
- Limited set of model types
  - Models and Maps
- Model management scripts
  - Composition of given model management operators

#### **1. Extensions for Model Management 3.0**

- Scripts are object-oriented programs
- Models are typed based on their metamodels
- **Operators** are tied to **metamodels**
- Operators expect typed models as input and produce typed models as output



Thomas Reiter, Kerstin Altmanninger, Werner Retschitzegger. *Think Global, Act Local: Implementing Model Management with Domain-Specific Integration Languages.* Revised Selected Papers of Workshops and Symposia at MoDELS 2006, Springer LNCS 4363, pages 263-276, 2007.

#### **Model Management**

Motivating example: Exogenous merge





#### Content

- Introduction
  - Modeling, Model-Driven Engineering, and Model Management
- Model Management Use Case I: Model Versioning
- Model Management Use Case II: Model Co-Evolution
- Résumé



#### Model Management Use Case I: Model Versioning

Motivation

- Some definitions of Software Engineering (SE)
  - SE is defined as the multi-person construction of multi-version software – David Lorge Parnas
  - SE deals with the building of software systems that are so large or so complex that they are **built by teams** of engineers
    - Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jazayeri, and Dino Mandrioli

Implication for Model Engineering: Models must be built in teams!



#### **The Model Versioning Process Revised**







#### **Example 1: Contradicting Change**











#### **Example 2: Equivalent Change**

Harder than we thought!?







Gerti Kappel et al. *Adaptable Model Versioning in Action*. In Proc. of Modellierung 2010, GI, LNI 161, pages 221-236, 2010.

State-based and generic merging



State-based and generic merging



State-based and generic merging



Operation-based and refactoring-aware merging



Operation-based and refactoring-aware merging





- Few initial approaches
- No common terminology
- No common problem definition
- No exactly formulated research goals
- No test cases for comparison of model versioning systems





#### Content

- Introduction
  - Model-Driven Engineering, and Model Management
- Model Management Use Case I: Model Versioning
- Model Management Use Case II: Model Co-Evolution
- Résumé



#### Model Management Use Case II: Model Co-Evolution

Motivation

- Term "Co-Evolution" borrowed from Biology
  - Biological co-evolution is the change of a biological entity triggered by the change of a related entity
    - One-to-one Relationships: Predator/Prey, Host/Symbiont, Host/Parasite, ...
    - Diffuse Relationships: An entity evolves in response to a number of other entities, each of which is also evolving in response to a set of entities

#### Co-Evolution in MDE

- Co-evolution is the change of a model triggered by the change of a related model
- Current View
  - Relationship: r(a,b)
  - a → a'
  - b → b' | r(a',b')
  - Challenge: Relationship Reconciliation
- Current research focus is on one-to-one relationships







#### **Metamodeling Level**

Metamodels are the central artefacts





#### **Metamodeling Level**

Only models, i.e., instances of metamodels, are currently co-evolved!





#### Metamodel/Model (Co-)Evolution

#### Example





Gerti Kappel et al. **On using Inplace Transformations for Model Co-Evolution**. In Proc. of the 2nd International Workshop on Model Transformations with ATL (MtATL) @ ICMT'10, 2010.

Initial example



 $t_1 \ \dots$  Forward Transformation





Target metamodel evolution



- $t_1 \ \dots \ Forward \ Transformation$
- $t_2 \ \ldots$  Migration Transformation





Transformation composition for evolving existing transformations



 $t_2 \ \ldots$  Migration Transformation



First initial results for composing graph transformations





Manuel Wimmer et al. *Towards Transformation Rule Composition*. In Proc. of the 4th International Workshop on Multi-Paradigm Modeling (MPM) @ MoDELS'10, 2010.

**Question 1**: Are class diagrams in the centre of the development process?





**Question 1**: Are class diagrams in the centre of the development process?





Question 2: Do we have one-to-one relationships or diffuse relationships?





Question 2: Do we have one-to-one relationships or diffuse relationships?





Question 2: Do we have one-to-one relationships or diffuse relationships?





Question 3: Who is the host and who is the parasite?

- Traditional Database Engineering View
  - Use the schema for populating the instances!
- Consequence
  - Each change in the Class Diagram has to be propagated to the Object Diagram







Question 3: Who is the host and who is the parasite?

- Prototype-based Engineering View
  - Abstract the schema from the instances!
- Consequence
  - Some changes in the Object Diagram have to be to propagated to the Class Diagram









- Several initial approaches
- Metamodel/model (co-)evolution has been solved for syntactical issues
- Huge number of different co-evolution scenarios
- Often no exactly formulated relationships between models
- No publicly accessible model repositories for studying model coevolution



#### Content

- Introduction
  - Modeling, Model-Driven Engineering, and Model Management
- Model Management Use Case I: Model Versioning
- Model Management Use Case II: Model Co-Evolution
- Résumé



Model management infrastructure is the prerequisite for tackling evolution issues

- Find a **basic set** of model management operators
  - Diff, Merge, Patch, ...
  - Explore variations and properties of these operators
- Extend existing tools with model management capabilities
  - Provide a set of predefined operators
  - Provide a common programming model for script development
- Based on these scripting languages, provide tool support for
  - Versioning, Co-evolution, Merging, ...
  - Users should be enabled to adapt predefined scripts





Model management: lessons learned

Provides terminology for evolution concerns

O Allows to reason on a high-level of abstraction

**Solutions** of operators are a must

Says nothing about **implementation** of operators

Some operators seem to be **magical** (e.g., *match*, *merge*, ...) **No** approved programming model for script development



Future work needed!

#### Many research questions remain open in the field of MDE

- What are the most important model management/evolution **scenarios**?
- What is the **sufficient set** of model management operators?
- What is an **appropriate programming model** for model management scripts?
- How to **implement** model management operators?
- How to verify model management operators?
- Is a **generic** model management approach feasible?





Model Management in Model-Driven Engineering – Still enough to do :-!



# Thanks to ...

- Petra Brosch
- Horst Kargl
- Philip Langer
- Thomas Reiter
- Werner Retschitzegger
- Wieland Schwinger
- Martina Seidl
- Konrad Wieland
- Manuel Wimmer
- and many more ...





















