

Charge transport and recombination in bulk-heterojunction solar cells

Ronald Österbacka Department of Physics and Center for Functional Materials Åbo Akademi University

http://www.funmat.fi



Finnish-Japanese Workshop on Functional Materials, 25-26.05.2009



FunMat Schematic







Center for Functional Materials

Our vision

We believe that new functional materials will enable the printed intelligence revolution

Our mission

To create a strong multidisciplinary research environment for the development of new materials and demonstrating new functionalities by printing

Key objectives

Excellence and innovativeness in research
Highly inter- and multidisciplinary approach to research
Strong national and international networking
To become the leader in paper based printed intelligence research



Outline

- Introduction to charge transport and recombination
 - -Effect of Langevin recombination
- Recombination studies with TOF
 - -In pure polymers
 - -In annealed bulk-heterojunction solar cells
- Double Injection Transients (DoI)
 - -Effect of trapping
- Suggested model
 - -Nanomorphology important
 - -2D delocalization of charge carriers
- Effect of reduced recombination on magnetortransport
- Summary





Bulk-Heterojunction Solar Cells



G. Yu, et al. Science 270, 1789 (1995), J.J.M. Halls, et al., Nature 376, 498 (1995)



Second generation BHSC



Xiaoniu Yang, et al., Nano Letters, 5, 579-583 (2005)



Why transport and recombination?

Efficiency proportional to the current

$$j = en\mu E$$

n= carrier density

- μ = carrier mobility
- e= electron charge
- E=electric field
- Organic materials have low mobilities
- To have same efficiency we need higher carrier densities
- Higher density leads to lower carrier lifetime -> Lower current!



- μ and the second order recombination parameter β are important parameters for testing suitable materials.
- Main goal to understand transport and recombination in polymeric solar cells.





Langevin Recombination

Expected in low-mobility (μ <1 cm²/Vs) materials

Langevin recombination is determined by the probability for the charge carriers to meet in space, independent of the subsequent fate of the carriers

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{dn}{dt} = -\beta_L np = -\beta_L n^2$$

$$\beta_L = \frac{e(\mu_n + \mu_p)}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0} \propto \mu_f(F, T)$$

Necessary condition: The carrier mean free path is much smaller than the Coulomb capture radius r_c , i.e. $a << r_c$.

$$r_c = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon\varepsilon_0 kT} \approx 19\text{nm}$$

To reach a photocurrent density of ≥ 15 mA/cm² for d=300 nm and $V_{oc}=0.5$ V: $\mu\beta_L/\beta > 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ cm²/Vs.





Consequences of Langevin recombination

- Langevin recombination leads to low photogeneration efficiency of Onsager type
 - -Field-dependent generation!







Consequences of Langevin recombination

- Langevin recombination leads to low photogeneration efficiency of Onsager type
 - -Field-dependent generation!
 - -Lower the Fill-Factor
- Efficiency will be limited
 - -Only ~CU can be extracted from the device



Bimolecular lifetime



Recombination measured using TOF t [ms] 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.5 0.3 — · · L = 300 μJ • L = 100 μJ - L = 30 μJ cusp 0.2 L = 10 µJ [Yu] [0.1 $Q_0 >> CU$ $= 3 \mu J$ j/j_{sclc} 1.0 = 1 uJ $= 0.3 \, \mu J$ = 0.1 uJ = 0.03 µJ 0.0 $Q_0 = CU$ 0.5 P3HT/PCBM 20 $Q_0 << CU$ **RRa-PHT** 15 0.0 **-** 110 μJ 3 2 1 -71 μJ () - 40 μJ t/t_{tr} j [µA/ 13 μJ 4.7 μJ 5 1.6 μJ - 0.3 μJ 0.07 u Small Charge Current (SCC) mode. 1. Space Charge Perturbed Current (SCPC). 2 2. 3 0 Space Charge Limited Current (SCLC). 3. t [ms]

A. Pivrikas et al. PRB 71, 125205, (2005) A. Pivrikas, et. al., PRL 94, 176806 (2005).



Reduced Recombination in RRPHT/PCBM Solar cells





A. Pivrikas, et. al., PRL. 94, 176806 (2005).



Double Injection (Dol) Currents



- Apply a voltage pulse
- Record the transient current
- First the RC-current is observed
- Then the build-up of a carrier density is observed
- The saturation curent is limited by recombination
- At switch-off, a reservoir extraction is observed



R.H. Dean. J. Appl. Phys. **40**, 585 (1969) Mark & Lampert, *Current Injection in Solids*, Academic Press NY (1970)





As a function of β

As a function of pulse width



G. Juska et al., JAP 101, 114305 (2007)







Trapping in real solar cells



Note the absence of trapping in annealed RRPHT/PCBM BHSC!



Juska et al., in press



Why is there reduced recombination?

Before annealing







Xiaoniu Yang, et al., Nano Letters, 5, 579-583 (2005)

PCBM nanocrystals in the matrix



A possible model for reduced recombination in RR-PHT/PCBM



•Increased carrier generation / reduced recombination due to an effective energy barrier for geminate pair recombination at the interface ($\Delta \sim 0.5$ eV Osikowicz et al.)

•To reach the chain nearest the interface the hole on the polymer has to overcome the same barrier

•Probability for carriers to meet in space is reduced!

•Requires lamellar structures at the interface



V. Arkhipov et al., APL 82, 4605 (2003); Osikowicz et al., Advanced Materials 19, 4213 (2007)



Lamellar structures important

A Regioregular (RR) B Regiorandom (RRa)





Head-to-tail = 100%

Head-to-tail ~ 80%



Sirringhaus et al., Nature 401, 685 (1999); Österbacka et al., Science 287, 839 (2000)



Langevin recombination in MDMO-PPV/PCBM systems





Pivrikas et al., Nonlinear Optics, Quantum Optics: Concepts in Modern Optics, 37, 169-177 (2007)



S. Majumdar et al., Physical Review B 79, 201202R (2009).

MAT **Correlation with magnetic behavior** Magnetization (Am²) 01x1 (Am²) 1-1 P3HT 10 $\beta/\beta_1 \sim 1$ $\beta/\beta_{\rm L} \sim 0.5$ 10⁰ -2x10⁻⁸ % MR ----- $\beta/\beta_{L} \sim 10^{-3}$ -100 100 200 -200 0 10⁻¹ B (mT) 2x10⁻⁷ 5 K 1x10⁻⁷ 100 K -300 -200 -100 100 200 300 0 Magnetization B (mT) 0 P3HT/PCBM -1x10⁻⁷ -2x10⁻⁷ -200 -100 100 200 0 B (mT)

S. Majumdar et al., submitted. V.I. Krinichnyi, Solar cells and Solar energy Materials **92**, 942 (2008).



- Bimolecular recombination is important for characterization of solar cell materials
- Langevin recombination:
 - -Probability for charge carriers to meet in space
 - -Field dependent generation of Onsager type
 - -Lower extraction efficiency
- Treated RR-PHT/PCBM blends shows greatly reduced recombination compared to Langevin $\sim \beta/\beta_L \sim 10^{-3}$
- Untreated RR-PHT/PCBM blends show $\sim \beta/\beta_L \sim 0.1$
- MDMO-PPV/PCBM blends show $\sim \beta/\beta_L \sim 0.5$
- Nanomorphology important ->delocalization in lamellas important!
- Dol is an extremely useful measurement technique for materials with reduced recombination
- Take-home message: The probability to form electron hole pairs is crucial for the magnetotransport response.







The people!

- S. Majumdar, H. Majumdar, T. Mäkelä, L. Jian, R. Mohan, ÅA
- H. Aarnio, G. Sliauzys, J.K. Baral, N. Kaihovirta, D. Tobjörk, F. Jansson, N. Björklund, M. Nyman, S. Sanden, F. Petterson, ÅA
- H. Stubb (emeritus), K.-M. Källman (lab engineer), ÅA
- Left the group: A. Pivrikas (Linz), T. Bäcklund (UPM), H. Sandberg (VTT), M. Westerling (Perkin-Elmer)
- G. Juška, K. Arlauskas, K. Genevicius/Vilnius Univ
- R. Laiho/University of Turku
- N.S. Sariciftci, LIOS
- G. Dennler and M. Scharber, Konarka Austria
- D. Vanderzande, Universiteit Hasselt, Belgium
- Financial Support by the Academy of Finland, Technology





Organic Electronics group 2008





Thank you!







STIFTELSEN FÖR ÅBO AKADEMI

