JST J-RAPID Symposium on The 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake March 4, 2017, Kumamoto

Mechanism of Fluidized Landslides due to
the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake and
Risk Evaluation of Unstable Soils- A Factual Investigation by Japan-USA Joint Research –
(平成28年熊本地震による流動性地すべりの発生機構と不安定土砂の危険度評価~日米共同研究による実態解明調査~)



Project Leader Hemanta Hazarika Professor, Kyushu University Fukuoka, Japan

Project Members (Japan Side)

Core Members:

Hemanta Hazarika (Kyushu University)
Prof. Takaji Kokusho (Prof. Emeritus, Chuo University)
Prof. Kazuya Yasuhara and Prof. Osamu Saito
(Ibaraki University)
Prof. Hiroshi Fukuoka (Niigata University)
Dr. Naoki Sakai and Dr. Tomohiro Ishizawa
(National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience)
Prof. Guangqi Chen (Kyushu University)

Supporting Members (Japan Side)

Mr. Daisuke Matsumoto (Japan Foundation Engineering Co., Ltd., Fukuoka) Dr. Yoshifumi Kochi (K's Lab Inc., Yamaguchi) Mr. Masanori Tajiri (Tajiri Engineering Office, Kumamoto) Dr. Mitsuharu Fukuda (Taisei Geotec Co., Ltd., Fukuoka) Mr. Hideo Furuichi, Mr. Tomotaka Hirose (Giken Ltd., Tokyo) Mr. Takashi Fujishiro, Mr. Kenji Okamoto (Fukuyama Consultant Co., Ltd., Kitakyushu) Mr. Makoto Takada, Mr. Shigeo Yamamoto (Chuo Kaihatsu Corporation, Fukuoka) Mr. Shinichiro Ishibashi (Nihon Chiken Corporation, Fukuoka)



Project Members (USA Side)

Prof. Robert E. Kayen (University of California, Los Angeles)Dr. Shideh Dashti (University of Colorado, Boulder)Dr. Kevin Franke (Brigham Young University)Dr. Brad Wham (Cornell University)Dr. Nick Oettle (AECOM)



Summary of Project Activities

- Surveying of the affected areas using UAV (Unmanned aerial vehicle), LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) and Resistivity Imaging
- Collection of acceleration and fault data, their analysis and evaluation
- Quantitative evaluation of fluidized flow using laboratory tests
- Modeling and analysis using energy-based approach
- Construction of hazard maps
- Regular meeting of the leaders/subleaders of Japan and USA
- Organizing workshop to disseminate the information (Will be held at Kyushu University on March 6, 2017).

International Workshop on the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake

– Japan-US Joint Workshop –

March 6, 2017 Inamori Center, Kyushu University Fukuoka, Japan



Organized by J-RAPID Project Team

Co-organized by

Kyushu University National Disaster Research Council, Japan National Disaster Information Center of Western Japan, Kyushu University International Press-in Association (IPA) Center for Reducing Disaster Risk, Kumamoto University

Supported by

Japan Science and Technology Agency Japan Foundation Engineering Co., Ltd.



Major Surveyed Locations





Survey Schedule 1st Survey 5/11(Wed) 5/12(Thur) 5/13(Fri) 2nd Survey June 25(Sat) June 26(Sun) 3rd Survey August 22(Mon) August 23 (Tue) August 24 (Wed)

Survey using Modern Equipment (1)

> LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging)



Survey using Modern Equipment(2)

> UAV (Unmanned aerial vehicle):



Resistivity Imaging



Landslides, Slope Failures and Debris Flows



(Based on photograph by Geospatial Information Authority of Japan(GIA)

Huge Surficial Failure at Takanoobane



Landslides occurred in moderately inclined slope, thickness was small in relation to the width and length



Geological and Topographical Characteristics of the Landslide

- The landslide occurred on a moderately inclined slope (inclination: 10 to 15°).
- Orange-colored pumice soils were found to be scattered here and there around the failed slope.
- Relatively consolidated tephra layers were found to exist beneath the orange-colored pumice layers in the slope geology, and they were found to be intact.

Seepage flow was observed close to the pumice layers on the scarp during rainfall (heavy rain during our second field survey).

Hinotori Hot Spring Disaster (Debris Flow)





Non plastic volcanic soil (called Hido (灰土) in Japanese) which is very porous with low specific gravity
 Water content was found to be high
 Loses strength with very little load



Geological Aspects in Aso Area



AC: Aso central cone tephra and pumice, 7.3 cal ka \sim up to now, K-Ah: Kikai Akahoya ash,7.3 cal ka (Dark brown color) ATn: Aira Tn ash,29 cal ka (Shiny white color (glassy)) Kpfa: Kusasenrigahama pumice,31 cal ka (Orange color(without biotite)) Tp: Pre Takanoobane lava pumice,51 \pm 5 ka (Blackish)

Soil Properties

Soil Properties	Values
Specific gravity	2.24-2.38
Dry density (g/cm ³)	0.51-0.58
Wet density (g/cm ³)	1.23-1.30
Water content (%)	54.62-58.36
Liquid limit (%)	113.40
Plastic limit (%)	88.25
Plasticity index (%)	25.15
Sand (%)	35.8
Silt (%)	39.2
Clay (%)	25
Soil classification (JGS 0051-	Volcanic cohesive soil type II
2009)	(VH ₂)

Low specific gravity (Lower than silt and clay

Properties similar to silt with high liquid limit (MH)

Found near volcano area

Chemical and Mineral Contents (From XRF and XRD Tests)

Chemical content	%
SiO ₂	48.832
Al ₂ O ₃	35.959
Fe2O3	8.910
CaO	3.300
TiO ₂	1.843
P ₂ O ₅	0.489
K ₂ O	0.259
MnO	0.172
SrO	0.069
ZrO ₂	0.065
SO ₃	0.060
Ag ₂ O	0.018
Y ₂ O ₃	0.008
ZnO	0.008
Ga ₂ O ₃	0.007
NbO	0.003
Mineral content	%
Albite	57
Bytownite	40
Sodium Hidrogensulfide	2.0
Calcium copper germanium oxide	1.4

Contains 57 % Albite and 40 % Bytownite. Presence of these two minerals, known as mineral Plagioclase, contributes to brittle tenacity.

Microstructure of Soils (From SEM Test)



Before cyclic loading

After cyclic loading

Soil skeleton is formed by crystal flakes with high porosity
 By comparing two photographs (before and after cyclic loading), the amount of fine particles is found to be increased after cyclic loading

Reference : Paper to be presented by Ms. S. Ode at International Workshop on the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake, March 6, 2017, Kyushu University, Fukuoka

Arrangement of Resistivity Sensors (West Slope of Takanoobane)





Resistivity Profiles



> Kpfa is distributed in a very shallow depth and not parallel to the terrain

Reference : Paper to be presented by Dr. Y. Kochi at International Workshop on the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake, March 6, 2017, Kyushu University, Fukuoka

Evaluation of Landslide by Energy-based Approach

Energy balance in flow-type failure of slopes



 E_{EQ} : Earthquake energy contributing to slope failure E_P : Potential energy due to gravity E_{DP} : Energy dissipated in soil due to slope deformation E_K : Kinetic energy of sliding soil mass

Reference : Kokusho, T. et al. (2014): Energy approach to seismically induced slope failure and its application to case histories –Supplement-, *Engineering Geology*, <u>Vol. 181</u>

Performance-based Evaluation of Landslide by Energy Approach



Locations of strong motion stations(NIED), slope failures and epicenter of the 2016 Kumamoto earthquake

Simulation Results in Aso Area Input earthquake energy E_{IP} at a base layer was calculated

from multiple KIK-net vertical array records (NIED).

Maximum acceleration distribution map

Eu(EW) Caluculation site Hypacenter(Main shockMj7.3) Eu(EW) Slope failure ITH01 550.0 - 272.8 135.3 230. 272 272 272 - 67.13 33.30 16.52 8.193 4.064 VAAAAL 2.016 1.000 32.0 130.0 130.5 131.0 131.5 132.0 Longitude (deg..)

NS dir ACCmax(NS) Caluculation site ☆ Hypacenter(Main shockMj7.3) ACCmax(NS) Slope failure 33.5 780 3 553.4 33.0 392.6 Latitude (deg.) 278 5 197.5 140. кммн 99.35 70.49 50.00 32.0 130.0 130.5 131.0 131.5 132.0 Longitude (deg..)

Acceleration value ⇒ small
Input energy value ⇒ large

Input energy *E*_{IP} distribution map

Energy Vs Hypocentral Distance

Simple theory of spherical energy radiation Gutenberg and Richter (1956)

 $\log E = 1.5M + 11.8$

Spherical energy radiation of body wave

Reference : Paper to be presented by Dr. T. Ishizawa at International Workshop on the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake, March 6, 2017, Kyushu University, Fukuoka

Landslide Predictive Factors

No.	Factor
1	Slope gradient
2	Aspect
3	Elevation
4	Plan curvature
5	Profile curvature
6	Terrain roughness
7	Lithology unit
8	Distance to seismic fault
9	SPI
10	TWI
11	Drainage density
12	Distance to stream
13	NDVI
14	PGA
15	Constant

Landslide Hazard Maps

- High and very high vulnerable zones are located in the central parts of the study area, especially around the Aso volcano.
- The area which contained volcanic ash, very high elevation and steep slopes was classified as the high and very high hazard zones.
- The developed landslide hazard map showed that the probability of landslide occurrence is large in high slope and high elevation areas.

Reference : Paper to be presented by Prof. G. Chen at International Workshop on the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake, March 6, 2017, Kyushu University, Fukuoka

Acknowledgments

Prof. Kenji Ishihara (Chuo University, Tokyo, Japan) Prof. Kazunori Watanabe (Prof. Emeritus, Kumamoto University, Japan) Mr. Yutaka Tanoue (Kiso-Jiban Consultants Co., Ltd., Fukuoka, Japan) Mr. Katsuji Takematsu, Mr. Takayuki Morinaga, Mr. Akira Shigeoka and Mr.

Takehiko Aoyagi (Japan Foundation Engineering Co., Ltd., Fukuoka, Japan)

Mr. Yuta Kitano (Giken Ltd., Tokyo, Japan)

Mr. Babloo, Mr. Nishimura, Ms. Chu, Ms. Ode, Ms. Thuy, Mr. Suzuki, Mr. Manafi, Mr. Ogo (Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan)

Ms. Jenny and Ms. Samantha (University of Colorado, Boulder, USA)

Japan-USA Joint Investigation Team

J-RAPID Project Team of Japan

Thank you for your kind attention