



J-RAPID Field Survey and Development of GIS Database on Rural Areas Affected by the Nepal Earthquake

Final Report on 22 June, 2016

Machito MIHARA

Tokyo University of Agriculture

Bim Prasad SHRESTHA

Kathmandu University collaborated with Institute of Environmental Rehabilitation and Conservation

Composition of Research Team

- Japanese Team
 - Faculty of Regional Environment, Tokyo University of Agriculture (Principal Investigator: Machito MIHARA, Prof. Dr.)
 Collaborated with
 Research Center, Institute of Environmental Rehabilitation and Conservation
- Nepalese Team
 - School of Engineering, Kathmandu University
 (Principal Investigator: Bim Prasad SHRESTHA, Prof. Dr.)





Research Members (Japan)

Japanese Team (Faculty of Regional Environment Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture (TUA) collaborated with Institute of Environmental Rehabilitation and Conservation (ERECON)

- Machito MIHARA, Prof. Dr. (Principal Researcher)
- Hironobu SHIWACHI, Prof. Dr. (TUA)
- Sawahiko SHIMADA, Prof. Dr. (TUA)
- Hiromu OKAZAWA, Prof. Dr. (TUA)
- Ayako SEKIYAMA, Asso. Prof. Dr. (TUA)
- Takashi UENO, Dr. (TUA / ERECON)
- Koji MIWA (TUA / ERECON)
- Kuangting KUO (TUA)
- Sarvesh MASKEY (TUA)
- Kumiko KAWABE, Dr. (ERECON)
- Shinobu TERAUCHI, Dr. (ERECON)





Research Director (DHM) and Japanese team







Research Members (Nepal)

Nepali Team (Kathmandu University)

- Bim Prasad SHRESTHA, Prof. Dr. (Principal Investigator)
- Manish POKHAREL, Associate Prof. Dr.
- Gajendra SHARMA, Associate Prof. Dr.
- Prachand Man PRADHAN, Assistant Prof. Dr.
- Florencia Matina TULADHAR, Lecturer
- Sneha SHARMA, Research Assistant
- Sujata DHAKAL, Research Assistant





Nepali team







Team Meeting at Kathmandu University on 16 February, 2016







Research Objectives

This research dealt with the analysis of the facts and features of devastation and the evaluation of land use in rural areas suffered from the Nepal Earthquake in April and May 2015 for building up the new GIS database

- To understand and analyze the damage on residential and other buildings as well as agricultural land and facilities
- To classify the rural areas into suitable land use type taking account of disaster risks
- To suggest the Nepalese Government high resilience area to natural disasters
- To recommend the government a sustainable land use plan for the rural areas





Expected Outcomes

It is expected that the Nepalese Government could identify what aid and reconstruction plan is proper and needed in the project sites to make the rural societies more resilient to natural disasters and sustainable



Research Flow and GIS Database



Field Survey

The survey has been conducted in 13 villages at the research site, Panchkhal and Anaikot areas in Kavrepalanchok District. It included GPS data collection, field observation, soil sampling and questionnaire survey

- GPS data collected was reflected on GIS database
- Questionnaire survey was conducted to collect the data on damages of agricultural land and facilities as well as houses
- Soil sampling was conducted for soil erosion risk analysis





Research site Panchkhal and Anaikot , Kavrepalanchok (13 villages)





Field observation

conducted from November 2015 to May 2016

at Motha Pati Village, Panchkhal

on 17 February, 2016







Interview and hearing research

at Krishna Mandir Village, Anaikot on 18 February, 2016







Questionnaire survey

at Krishna Mandir Village, Anaikot on 18 February, 2016







Questionnaire survey

at Krishna Mandir Village, Anaikot on 18 February, 2016







Soil sampling

at Shikharpur Village (left) and Motha Pati Village (right), Panchkhal on 17 February, 2016





Devithan Village (Devithan=God's place)

- Seven people died
- 70% of total houses in the village were collapsed





Totally damaged house and barn







Damaged houses and barns made of stones and muds







Totally damaged house made of stones and muds







Half damaged house







Partially damaged brick house







Damage was less compared to houses made of muds, stones or unbaked bricks, but this house was damaged as the next building fell down on







Temporarily repaired house Repairing is not enough to prevent cold air in winter







Temporarily repaired house







Temporary house







Temporary house





Jyamirkot Village

- Five people died and four of them were same family members
- Flat land is limited, thus houses are more scattered out compared to other villages





Totally damaged house and barn







Damaged houses and barns







Totally damaged house







Totally damaged house and barn







Temporary houses







Terrace rice field (no damage)







Upland field cultivating tomato (no damage)






Terrace fields (no damage)





Other villages







Half damaged house and barn at Nayagaon Village







Totally damaged houses and barns at Krishna Mandir Village







Facility for spring water source at Shikharpur Village





Damage map of houses and barns



Findings from Field Survey (1)

- In most of villages, farmers mentioned that soil became dry after the earthquake
- In some villages, water sources became less and villagers have to draw from other points. Some of them could find the alternative source but especially ones located in high upland have not been able to find and have to depend on rainfall. However, due to the lack of precipitation, their daily life is so hard





Findings from Field Survey (2)

- Agricultural land was not damaged by the earthquake directly except for water shortage
- Building structures such as houses and barns were significantly damaged as well as losses of livestock
- Most of collapsed houses were made of unbaked bricks, stones or muds. The aids delivered to those victims are not enough to reconstruct their houses, and they are sleeping outside, because they are afraid of further breakdown of house





Research Flow and GIS Database



Soil Erosion Risk Analysis

Although remarkable damages in agricultural fields were not observed through the field survey, attention has been paid on how field condition has changed after the earthquake

Based on USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation), soil erosion risk was compared between before and after the earthquake. Vegetation cover conditions before the earthquake were taken on 14 June, 2014 and that after the earthquake on 01 June, 2015



Methodology

Calculation of soil erosion risk

Soil erosion risk (SER) = K * LS * C

- K : soil erodibility factor
- LS : topographic factor
- C : cropping management factor



K factor

 $K = 2.1 M {}^{1.14} 10^{-4} (12-OM) + 3.25 (b-2) + 2.5 (c-3) / 100 / 7.59$

- M : (% silt + % sand)* (100 % clay)
- OM : percentage of organic matter (%)
- b: soil structural code
- c: soil permeability cod<mark>e</mark>

Soil and Terrain Database: SOTER (FAO-Nepal,2004)





LS factor

LS = $(\lambda / 22.13)^{m}$ (65.41sin² θ + 4.56sin θ + 0.065)

- λ : slope length (m)
- θ : slope in degree (m=0.5)





C factor

C = -0.8158 NDVI + 1

NDVI: Normalize Difference Vegetation Index

NDVI = (NIR-Red) / (NIR + Red) NIR: Near Infrared Red Red: Visible Red Landsat 8 OLI Before: 14 June, 2014 After: 01 June, 2015



Relationship between C factor and NDVI from Landsat 8

Results and discussions

Soil erosion risk(SER) maps

Soil erosion risk before the earthquake occurred



Soil erosion risk after the earthquake occurred







Comparison of SER before and after the earthquake

$$VSER = \frac{SER(A) - SER(B)}{SER(B)}$$

VSER - Changed value of soil erosion risk
SER (A) - Soil erosion risk after earthquake
SER (B) - Soil erosion risk before earthquake









Research Flow and GIS Database



Land use (by digitizing)



Road Farmland Forest Residential area Water

WorldView-3 15 May 2015



Land use classification



	Legend	Total area (m ²)	%
	Road	622187.3	1.4
	Farmland	22758091.7	49.6
	Forest	21856806.3	47.7
	Residential area	465637.8	1.0
	Water	154092.5	0.3
Total		45856815.6	100











Relation between VSER and slope







Changed value of soil erosion risk vs land use





However....







Findings from SER Analysis

- Based on USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation), soil erosion risk was compared between before and after the earthquake
- Changed value of SER (VSER) was calculated to compare the difference in SER before and after the earthquake. There was no remarkable relationship between VSER and slope in degree
- In farmlands, the changed value of SER (VSER) was small. However, there were tendencies for VSER in residential area to increase and for forests to decrease
- Even in farmlands, the changed value of SER (VSER) increased after the earthquake in some area. Continuous observation should be conducted





Research Flow and GIS Database



Evaluating Dominate Factors

- Based on damage degrees of houses and barns, dominate affecting factors were analyzed with multi-regression analysis
- In multi-regression analysis, explanatory variables are 'Age of a house', 'Building material type', 'Changed value of soil erosion risk', 'Land use type', 'Irrigation type', 'Slope in degree', 'Crop type', 'Farmland productivity', 'Elevation', etc.
- All variables were obtained in this study through field survey, laboratory experiments and GIS analysis, in addition to collected data in Nepal



Evaluating dominate factors for damage Multi-regression analysis

Objective variable



Explanatory variable

- Age of a house
- Building material type
- Variation soil erosion risk
- Land use type
- Irrigation type
- Slope in degree
- Crops type
- Farmland productivity
- Elevation ••• etc.

	Explanatory variable	P value
<i>R</i> =0.35	Age of a house	0.0257 *
	Elevation	0.0464 *
	Farmland productivity	0.0519
0,	1	1





Findings from Dominate Factor Evaluation

- Dominate factors affecting to the damage degrees of houses and barns were analyzed by multi-regression analysis
- Based on the results of multi-regression analysis, the dominate factors were 'Age of a house' and 'Elevation' at 95% confidence interval
- Further analysis is necessary with other statistical methods





Tentative Concluding Remarks





Research Objectives

This research dealt with the analysis of the facts and features of devastation and the evaluation of land use in rural areas suffered from the Nepal Earthquake in April and May 2015 for building up the new GIS database

- To understand and analyze the damage on residential and other buildings as well as agricultural land and facilities
- To classify the rural areas into suitable land use type taking account of disaster risks
- To suggest the Nepalese Government high resilience area to natural disasters
- To recommend the government a sustainable land use plan for the rural areas





Tentative Concluding Remarks (1)

To understand and analyze the damage on residential and other buildings as well as agricultural land and facilities

- Agricultural land was not damaged by the earthquake directly
- Building structures such as houses and barns were significantly damaged as well as losses of livestock
- Most of collapsed houses were made by unbaked bricks, stones or muds
- From the results of multi-regression analysis, the dominate factors were 'Age of a house' and 'Elevation' at 95% confidence interval



Tentative Concluding Remarks (2)

To classify the rural areas into suitable land use type taking account of disaster risks

 Based on USLE (Universal Soil Loss Equation), soil erosion risk was compared between before and after the earthquake. In farmlands, the changed value of SER (VSER) was small. However, there were tendencies for VSER in residential area to increase and for forests to decrease. Accordingly, it was concluded the vegetation cover is important to eliminate further erosion phenomena



Tentative Concluding Remarks (3)

To suggest the Nepalese Government high resilience area to natural disasters

 From the results of multi-regression analysis, the dominate factors were 'Age of a house' and 'Elevation' at 95% confidence interval. Also, there was a tendency in higher elevation indicated smaller damage and lower elevation larger damage. However, more detail analysis is necessary to conclude it

To recommend the government a sustainable land use plan for the rural areas

- From the results of soil erosion risk analysis, it was concluded the vegetation cover is important to eliminate further erosion phenomena





Future Research

- So far we observed, there was no certain trend in agricultural productivity. We would like to observe continuously how the productivity changes after the earthquake



Acknowledgements

- This research has been financially supported by JST (Japan Science and Technology Agency). Also, it was very grateful that JST members provided us very meaningful guidance and recommendation during our research in J-RAPID Nepal
- Related governmental organization in Nepal, especially DHM, kindly provided us statistical documents, maps and GIS data. Their kind collaboration was very appreciated. The database would be ready to open soon in TUA and KU website. Although our achievements are limited, we are very happy if the database is useful for reconstruction planning of damaged area




Thank you for your attention!

We do hope local livelihoods of people will be improved soon

