# GIES 2008:

"Measuring Innovation"

European Commission DG Enterprise and Industry Innovation Policy

Tokyo, 14 March 2008



#### **Measuring innovation performance**

#### The 2007 Summary Innovation Index (SII)



- The SII is a composite indicator based on 25 individual indicators related to innovation
- For Japan, data are available for 14 indicators, for the US 15 indicators
- Methodology available at <u>http://www.proinno-europe.eu/index.cfm</u>



#### **Innovation Drivers**



#### • CA is not ranked due to missing information



#### **Knowledge Creation**





#### **Innovation & Entrepreneurship**



• CA, HR, IL, IS, JP, SI, TR and US are not ranked due to missing information



## The European Innovation Scoreboard Applications



• AU, CA, JP, TR and US are not ranked due to missing information



#### **Intellectual Property**



• Scores for RO and TR are too small to be shown in the figure



# **EIS 2007 Indicators**

- EIS 2007 INDICATORS
- INNOVATION DRIVERS (INPUT DIMENSION)
- 1.1 S&E graduates per 1000 population aged 20-29 EUROSTAT
- 1.2 Population with tertiary education per 100 population aged 25-64 EUROSTAT, OECD
- 1.3 Broadband penetration rate (number of broadband lines per 100 population) EUROSTAT, OECD
- 1.4 Participation in life-long learning per 100 population aged 25-64 EUROSTAT
- 1.5 Youth education attainment level (% of population aged 20-24 having
- completed at least upper secondary education)
- EUROSTAT
- KNOWLEDGE CREATION (INPUT DIMENSION)
- 2.1 Public R&D expenditures (% of GDP) EUROSTAT, OECD
- 2.2 Business R&D expenditures (% of GDP) EUROSTAT, OECD
- 2.3 Share of medium-high-tech and high-tech R&D (% of manufacturing R&D
- expenditures)
- EUROSTAT, OECD
- 2.4 Share of enterprises receiving public funding for innovation EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- INNOVATION & ENTREPRENEURSHIP (INPUT DIMENSION)
- 3.1 SMEs innovating in-house (% of all SMEs) EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- 3.2 Innovative SMEs co-operating with others (% of all SMEs) EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- 3.3 Innovation expenditures (% of total turnover) EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- 3.4 Early-stage venture capital (% of GDP) EUROSTAT
- 3.5 ICT expenditures (% of GDP) EUROSTAT, WORLD BANK
- 3.6 SMEs using organisational innovation (% of all SMEs) EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- APPLICATIONS (OUTPUT DIMENSION)
- 4.1 Employment in high-tech services (% of total workforce) EUROSTAT
- 4.2 Exports of high technology products as a share of total exports EUROSTAT
- 4.3 Sales of new-to-market products (% of total turnover) EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- 4.4 Sales of new-to-firm products (% of total turnover) EUROSTAT (CIS4)
- 4.5 Employment in medium-high and high-tech manufacturing (% of total
- workforce)
- EUROSTÁT, OECD
- INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY (OUTPUT DIMENSION)
- 5.1 EPO patents per million population EUROSTAT, OECD
- 5.2 USPTO patents per million population EUROSTAT, OECD
- 5.3 Triad patents per million population EUROSTAT, OECD
- 5.4 New community trademarks per million population OHIM, EUROSTAT, OECD
- 5.5 New community designs per million population OHIM, EUROSTAT, OECD



#### **Convergence in Innovation Performance**



\*\*\*\*

# **EU-Japan Innovation gap**





















# **Service sector Innovation Indicators**

- HUMAN RESOURCES
- 1.1 Share of firms engaged in training for innovation purposes
- 1.2 Share of firms reporting lack of qualified personnel as an important issue *reversed*
- indicator
- INNOVATION DEMAND
- 2.1 Share of firms reporting uncertain demand as an important issue *reversed indicator*
- 2.2 Share of firms reporting no need to innovate because no demand for innovation –
- reversed indicator
- PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR INNOVATION
- 3.1 Share of firms that received any public funding for innovations
- PRODUCT AND PROCESS INNOVATION
- 4.1 Share of firms engaged in intramural R&D
- 4.2 Expenditures in intramural R&D (% of total innovation expenditure)
- 4.3 Share of firms engaged in acquisition of machinery etc.



# Service sector Innovation Indicators

#### PRODUCT AND PROCESS OUTPUTS •

- **5.1** Share of firms with highly important effects in reduced materials and energy •
- **5.2** Share of firms with highly important effects in improved flexibility •
- **5.3** Share of firms with highly important effects in improved quality •
- 5.4 Share of firms with highly important effects in reduced labour costs •
- NON TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION
- 6.1 Share of firms that introduced organisational and/or marketing innovations •
- 6.2 Share of firms that introduced organisational innovations •
- 6.3 Share of firms that introduced marketing innovations •
- NON TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION OUTPUTS •
- 7.1 Share of firms with highly important effects in reduced time to respond •
- 7.2 Share of firms with highly important effects in improved quality •
- 7.3 Share of firms with highly important effects in reduced costs •
- COMMERCIALISATION •
- 8.1 Turnover of new and significantly improved products only new to firm (% of total •
- turnover)
- 8.2 Share of firms that have new or significantly improved products new to market •
- INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY •
- 9.1 Share of firms that applied for a patent •
- 9.2 Share of firms that registered an industrial design •
- **9.3** Share of firms that registered a trademark •



# **Future challenges**

- Measuring new forms of innovation:
  - Services, user-driven innovation, open innovation
  - Bias towards R&D-based technological innovation?
  - How to capture non-technological innovation (organizational, marketing) and knowledge flows?
  - Should new indicators be developed?
- How to correctly assess innovation performance?
  - Input-output indicators. How useful is this, given that innovation is a non-linear process?
  - How to capture innovation efficiency (transformation of inputs into outputs)?
  - Should wider socio-economic factors be included (e.g. governance and economic indicators)?
- Is there real international comparability?
  - How to handle indicators that are subject to national contexts and perceptions? (e.g. education indicators, IP indicators...)
  - Hugely fluctuating indicators (e.g. Venture Capital). Stock approach better than flow approach?
  - Problems of data timeliness. Introduce time lags between input and output indicators?



# **Future challenges**

- Measuring new forms of innovation:
  - Services, user-driven innovation, open innovation
  - Bias towards R&D-based technological innovation?
  - How to capture non-technological innovation (organizational, marketing) and knowledge flows?
  - Should new indicators be developed?
- How to correctly assess innovation performance?
  - Input-output indicators. How useful is this, given that innovation is a non-linear process?
  - How to capture innovation efficiency (transformation of inputs into outputs)?
  - Should wider socio-economic factors be included (e.g. governance and economic indicators)?
- Is there real international comparability?
  - How to handle indicators that are subject to national contexts and perceptions? (e.g. education indicators, IP indicators...)
  - Hugely fluctuating indicators (e.g. Venture Capital). Stock approach better than flow approach?
  - Problems of data timeliness. Introduce time lags between input and output indicators?



# Lennart.Grundberg@ec.europa.eu



