Program Design and Management for Transformative Research at the US National Institutes of Health

Japan Science Technology Agency September 16, 2009

Richard S. Fisher, PhD

Associate Director for Science Policy and Legislation Director, Office Program Planning and Analysis National Eye Institute National Institutes of Health







Department of Health and Human Services



Departments in the President's Cabinet



15 Departments:

Agriculture Commerce Defense Education Energy HHS Homeland Security Housing and Urban Dev Interior Justice Labor State Transportation Treasury Veterans Affairs

National Institutes of Health



Biomedical research in the United States supported by the Federal Government



NIH Director, Francis Collins, MD, PhD



A Typical NIH Institute



Typical Peer Review Process

Grant Application Cycle



Applications to NIH

 > 60,000 grant applications are submitted to NIH each year

• 10-25% are funded



10 months to Award a Grant:

Feb	Receipt of Application CSR
July	Scientific Merit Review CSR or NEI
Sept	National Advisory Eye Counci NEI
Dec	Notice of Grant Award

Center for Scientific Review (CSR)

Receipt and Referral
Receives applications
Assigns to Scientific Review Panels
Designates Funding Institute (NEI)

Scientific Review

Initial scientific merit review

CSR Study Sections Scientific Merit Review



- ~25 members
- face-to-face meetings
- 60-100 applications (2 days)
- Scientific Review Officer

5 Review Criteria

Significance Innovation Approach Investigators Environment

Overall Impact

Summary Statement to Applicant

Summary of Review Discussion

- Detailed Critiques of Assigned Reviewers
- Priority Score and Percentile Ranking

Budget Recommendations

National Advisory Council

Oversight of the Review Process
Approval Required to Fund a Grant
Programmatic Considerations

NIH Funds Grants based on

Scientific merit

Program considerationsAvailability of funds

What is the Problem?

Innovation?

High Risk / High Reward?

New Opportunities?

NIH Roadmap for Medical Research Accelerating Medical Discovery to Improve Health

What are today's scientific challenges? What are the roadblocks to progress? What do we need to do to overcome roadblocks?









NIH Roadmap Goals

- Accelerate basic research discoveries and speed translation of those discoveries into clinical practice
- Explicitly address roadblocks that slow the pace of biomedical research to improve health
- Develop new ways to fund innovative, potentially transformative research
- Develop programs that no single institute would fund that would be relevant to much or all of NIH



National Institutes of Health \$30,000,000,000

\$500,000,000 1.7% of Total NIH NIH Roadmap for Medical Research

Like JST:

Devote a portion of NIH Budget to new approaches for innovative, transformative programs





NIH Roadmap for Medical Research

> New Pathways to Discovery

Molecular Libraries and Imaging Building Blocks, Biological Pathways and Networks Structural Biology Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Human Microbiome Project Epigenomics **Nanomedicine**

> Research Teams of the Future

> Re-engineering Clinical Research Enterprise







NIH Roadmap Nanomedicine Initiative A Novel Program









Nanomedicine?

- Medical diagnosis, monitoring and treatment at the level of single molecules or molecular assemblies that provide structure, control, signaling, homeostasis and motility in cells (Am Acad NM)
- The science and technology of diagnosing, treating and preventing disease and traumatic injury, of relieving pain, and of preserving and improving human health, using molecular tools and molecular knowledge of the human body (Euro Sci Found)

Nanomedicine

- An outgrowth of nanotechnology
- Application of tools to biological tissue
 - Optical Imaging (contrast agents, single-molecule optics)
 - Probe and Manipulation (AFM)
 - Multifunctional Nanoparticles (gold, silver, hybrid magnetic)
 - Nanostructures (QD, dendrimers)
 - Nanocoatings and films (antibacterial, anti-inflammatory)
 - Nanodevices (sensors using nanotubes)
 - Bottom-up self-assembly

NIH Nanomedicine Roadmap Initiative

How can NIH add value? What does NIH do best?

Not Nanotechnology

- Physical sciences
- Novel properties of materials (1-100 nm) that lead to novel applications
- Manipulation and control

Not just nanotechnology applied to biology and medicine

- Develop new materials and/or technologies and apply to specific diseases or cell systems
- > \$200 million

The NIH Vision Nanomedicine Roadmap Initiative

- Uncover novel properties; quantitatively characterize these and other known properties of biomolecules are their complexes inside cells.
- Gain an understan in the engineering principles used in Roy ells to "build" Goecules, molecular Complexes, organic, teo, and tissues
 - Develop and the origins, and engineer devices and hyperd structures, for real of tissues as well as preventing and real classes

Nanomedicine Development Centers Challenge #1

Understand a system with such precision (at the nanoscale) to be able to engineer (intracellular) structures in order to address a specific medical problem within 10 years

Challenge #2

Develop a medical research program with basic scientists

Involve clinician scientists early in the research

The Nanomedicine Initiative

Multidisciplinary

biology, chemistry physics, math and computational science, engineering, clinical

Translational

 – connects basic scientists with clinicians to develop new knowledge of basic biological mechanisms and transition it to pre-clinical testing within 10 years.

Novel and risky

 proposes new scientific and team science concepts: engineering biology based on quantitative knowledge basic science shaped by clinical goals

Novel program development and management – departs from NIH practices that thwart pursuit of novel, risky projects



	Total	PIs	Postdocs	Students	Other
Nanomedicine Center for Nucleoprotein Machines	45	10	14	13	8
Center for Protein Folding Machinery	75	16	24	17	18
Nanotechnology Center for Mechanics in Regen. Med.	47	12	19	8	8
The Center for Systemic Control of Cyto-Networks	31	10	8	10	3
NDC for the Optical Control of Biological Function	63	18	13	16	17
Engineering Cellular Control: Synthetic Signaling and Motility	44	13	10	10	11
Phi29 DNA-Packaging Motor for Nanomedicine	39	17	12	8	2
National Center for the Design of Biomimetic Nanoconductors	34	14	12	4	4
Average/NDC	49	14	14	12	10
TOTAL	344	96	100	82	67



Institutions (n=46; 5 foreign)

Baylor College of Medicine	N
Caltech	N
City of Hope	N
CSHL	Ρι
Columbia University	Ro
Duke University	Rı
Emory University	Sa
ETH-Zurich	Sc
Georgia Institute of Technology	St
German Cancer Research Center	St
Gladstone	U
Illinois Institute of Technology	U
Lawrence Berkeley Lab	U
Ludwig-Maximilians-University	U
MIT	U
Medical College of Georgia	U

YU Langone Medical Center IST YU School of Medicine urdue University ochester University utgers University andia National Laboratories ripps Research Institute tanford School of Medicine tanford University C Berkeley C Davis C San Diego C San Francisco C Santa Barbara CLA

University of Chicago University of Cincinnati University of Heidelberg University of Illinois at Chicago University of Illinois at U-C University of New Mexico University of Pennsylvania University of Pennsylvania University of S. Mississippi University of Washington UT MD Anderson UT Southwestern Medical Center Wabash College Weill Medical College of Cornell Weizmann Institute

Programmatic Timeline & Challenge

Biological Nanostructures

Medicine

Basic science \rightarrow engineering \rightarrow biology \rightarrow animal \rightarrow human \rightarrow disease

Identify novel properties & characterize quantitatively Understand Design Principles;

Develop new technologies

Engineer devices, hybrid structures, intracellular nanomachinery for repairing tissues to treat disease



2016

What is Different?

Not a grant, contract or cooperative agreement

Program development

Input from scientific Community 5-page white papers (87) Planning awards (20 for \$50,000) Meeting with applicants, then applications Closed competition 4 Centers Funded (x2)

What is Different?

Peer Review

special review group (includes NIH staff)
preliminary data not essential
strength of team
different scoring – bins
No council approval

What is Different?

Award management

- flexible resource allocation (set aside ~ 20%)
 - Team oversight includes staff, external advisors and center personnel
 - Network of centers is simultaneously collaborative and competitive
 - Programmatic involvement without programmatic control
 - Can increase/decrease number and size of centers

www.nihroadmap.nih.gov

www.nanomedcenter.org

Other Innovative NIH Programs

Pioneer New Innovators TR01

Pioneer Awards



Support Potentially Groundbreaking Ideas



Support individuals with untested, potentially groundbreaking ideas

Application: 3-5 page essay evidence for innovation

Unique review: interviews reference letters

\$500,000 (direct) pe year for 5 yrs





Success Rates FY04 – FY08



Applications: 1900

Awards: 63

Success Rate: 3.3%





Transformative R01



Projects with potential to create or overturn fundamental paradigms

Inventive, innovative, original and/or unconventional (risk)

Major impact in biomedical or behavioral research

Open to all fields of interest to NIH

Budget flexibility allows projects of varying complexities to be supported





Transformative R01



8 page application
No preliminary data required
Unconventional review
No budget limit
Program (2009) = \$25M

739 Applications Received: None awarded yet

Thank you to JST !

Questions?

Flexible Research Authority (FRA)

Granted to the NIH by the US Congress:

NIH ... may use funds ... to enter into transactions (other than contracts, cooperative agreements, or grants) to carry out research in support of the NIH Roadmap Initiative of the Director.

... may utilize such peer review procedures (including consultation with appropriate scientific experts) as the Director determines to be appropriate to obtain assessments of scientific and technical merit. Such procedures shall apply to such transactions in lieu of the peer review and advisory council review procedures that would otherwise be required...

Filament networks and motors

Cell Propulsion Lab (UCSF, Wendell Lim)

Networks: cytoskeletal proteins and signal transduction Redesign and reprogram; build artificial cell-like assemblies Novel therapeutics

Nanotechnology Center for Mechanics in Regenerative Medicine (Columbia University, Michael Sheetz)

> Cell mechanotransduction Force sensing, generating, and bearing systems Extracellular influences: matrix rigidity, form, and spacing Immune synapse and stem cell growth and differentiation

Membranes

Optical Control of Biological Function (UC Berkeley, Ehud Isacoff)

Remote control of molecular function using light: ligand photoswitches; light-gated peptides Ion Channels, G-protein coupled receptors, and enzymes Light-gated channels to restore vision

National Center for the Design of Biomimetic Nanoconductors (University Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Eric Jakobsson)

> Full understanding of membrane function for re-engineering New membrane channels with designed selectivity Functional Protocell: nanoporous, membrane-enclosed structure Drug delivery and disease treatment

Enzymes and Protein Function

Center for Protein Folding Machinery (Baylor, Wah Chiu)

Network of chaperones for protein folding Chaperonin - understand to re-engineer disease targets: neurodegenerative diseases, cataract

Center for Cell Control (UCLA, Chih-Ming Ho)

Signaling pathways monitor and control Engineering approach to develop algorithms for control Develop intracellular nanosensors for enzyme activity Manipulate pathways for wide range of disease treatment

Polynucleotide manipulation

Center for Nucleoprotein Machines (Ga Inst Tech, Gang Bao)

Non-homologous end joining complex (NHEJ) Repair DNA double-strand breaks Examine kinetics of assembly and disassembly to re-engineer specific genetic fix for hemoglobinopathies, neurodegenerative

Phi29 DNA-Packaging Motor (Univ. Cincinnati, Peixuan Guo)

Bacteriophage motor packages DNA in capsid Isolate, characterize and incorporate into delivery device Therapeutic cargo: DNA, RNA or drugs.

Implementation Nanomedicine Development Centers

	Phase 1 – \$81.5M Total						
	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	
	04	05	06	07	08	09	
# Centers		4	8	8	8	8	
\$ Million	1.5	6	12	12	25	25	